

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,401	06/12/2006	Francois Roederer	26221	2823	
22889 7590 03/30/2009 OWENS CORNING			EXAMINER		
2790 COLUM	BUS ROAD		HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
GRANVILLE	, OH 43023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,401	ROEDERER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	Τ	
John Hoffmann	1791		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statulory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. Examiner hote: If box it is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY, OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on
The Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>The new issues: whether the changes to claim 12, lines 5-6 would make any of the claims allowable.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>
7. \( \subseteq For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
The status of the damity is (or will be) as follows. Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-18</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\sigma\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: most of the arguments are directed to the proposed amendment. Since such is not entered, the arguments are moot. As to the argument that the specifiation shos the fluid being mainly directed; this does not appear to be relavent. The rejection is based largely on the usage of the language "being introduced" - applicant has failed to point out how directing results in the introducing
The directing clearly occurs after the introducing
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:

/John Hoffmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090324